

Brunei International Medical Journal

Volume 14

13 April 2018 (26 Rajab 1439H)

PATTERN OF SKIN PRICK TEST RESPONSES TO COMMON ALLERGENS IN PATIENTS WITH ALLERGIC RHINITIS IN BRUNEI DARUSSALAM.

Sara NINAN¹, Sneha E NINAN², Susalnoor SUHAILEE¹, Chee Fui CHONG³

ABSTRACT

Background: Allergic Rhinitis (AR) is a prominent inflammatory disorder of the nasal mucosa caused by exposure to allergens. Skin prick testing (SPT) is a standard method for evaluating the pattern of allergen sensitivity among patients suffering from AR. The objective of this study was to evaluate the sensitivity pattern of common allergens associated with AR in a tertiary care centre in Brunei. Materials and Methods: We prospectively recruited 98 patients with a clinical diagnosis of AR to undergo SPT in an outpatient setting. SPT was performed using 14 common allergens; house dust mites, Blomia tropicalis, German cockroach, feline dander, wheat flour, peanut, banana, egg white, milk, shrimp, chicken, fish mix, Bermuda grass and pollen with two controls (histamine and saline). **Result**: Mean age of the study group was 33.5±15.5 years and majority were female (73.5%). Nasal obstruction (86%) was the most common symptom reported by patients followed by rhinorrhoea (72%) and nasal itch (72%). Of the 98 patients who underwent SPT, 86.7% had positive SPTs while 13.3% were negative. The most common offending allergens were house dust mite (69.4%), Blomia tropicalis (61.2%) and shrimp (36.5%). Conclusion: The prevalence of AR is increasing in rapidly developing countries such as Brunei Darussalam. From this study, we found that the house dust mite, blomia tropicalis and shrimp were the most common allergens causing AR. Identifying the common allergens is useful in implementing effective preventative, diagnostic and treatment plans in an effort to help decrease the health burden of this disease in the Bruneian population.

Keywords: Allergic rhinitis, skin prick test, food allergens, mites

¹Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Raja Isteri Pengiran Anak Saleha Hospital, Bandar Seri Begawan BA1710, Brunei Darussalam.

²School of Medicine and Public Health, The University of Newcastle, Australia ³Clinical Research Unit, Raja Isteri Pengiran Anak Saleha Hospital, Ministry of Health, Bandar Seri Begawan BA1710, Brunei Darussalam.

Brunei International Medical Journal (BIMJ) Official Publication of the Ministry of Health, Brunei Darussalam

EDITORIAL BOARD

Editor-in-Chief William Chee Fui CHONG

Sub-Editors Vui Heng CHONG

Ketan PANDE

Editorial Board Members Nazar LUQMAN

Muhd Syafiq ABDULLAH Alice Moi Ling YONG

Ahmad Yazid ABDUL WAHAB Jackson Chee Seng TAN

Dipo OLABUMUYI

Pemasiri Upali TELISINGHE

Roselina YAAKUB

Pengiran Khairol Asmee PENGIRAN SABTU Dayangku Siti Nur Ashikin PENGIRAN TENGAH

INTERNATIONAL EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS

Lawrence HO Khek Yu (Singapore)

Emily Felicia Jan Ee SHEN (Singapore)

John YAP (United Kingdom)

Christopher HAYWARD (Australia)

Surinderpal S BIRRING (United Kingdom)

Leslie GOH (United Kingdom)

Chuen Neng LEE (Singapore)

Jimmy SO (Singapore)

Jose F LAPENA (Philippines) Simon Peter FROSTICK (United Kingdom)

Advisor

Wilfred PEH (Singapore)

Past Editors

Nagamuttu RAVINDRANATHAN Kenneth Yuh Yen KOK

Proof reader

John WOLSTENHOLME (CfBT Brunei Darussalam)

three relevant references should be included. Only images of high quality (at least 300dpi) will be accentable.

Technical innovations

This section include papers looking at novel or new techniques that have been developed or introduced to the local setting. The text should not exceed 1000 words and should include not more than 10 figures illustration and references should not be more than 10.

Letters to the Editor

Letters discussing a recent article published in the BIMJ are welcome and should be sent to the Editorial Office by e-mail. The text should not exceed 250 words; have no more than one figure or table, and five references.

Criteria for manuscripts

Manuscripts submitted to the BIMJ should meet the following criteria: the content is original; the writing is clear; the study methods are appropriate; the data are valid; the conclusions are reasonable and supported by the data; the information is important; and the topic has general medical interest. Manuscripts will be accepted only if both their contents and style meet the standards required by the

Authorship information

Designate one corresponding author and provide a complete address, telephone and fax numbers, and e-mail address. The number of authors of each paper should not be more than twelve; a greater number requires justification. Authors may add a publishable footnote explaining order of authorship.

Group authorship

If authorship is attributed to a group (either solely or in addition to one or more individual authors), all members of the group must meet the full criteria and requirements for authorship described in the following paragraphs. One or more authors may take responsibility 'for' a group, in which case the other group members are not authors, but may be listed in an acknowledgement.

Authorship requirement

When the BIMJ accepts a paper for publication, authors will be asked to sign statements on (1) financial disclosure, (2) conflict of interest and (3) copyright transfer. The correspondence author may sign on behalf of co-authors.

Authorship criteria and responsibilityAll authors must meet the following criteria: to have participated sufficiently in the work to take public responsibility for the content; to have made substantial contributions to the conception and de-

sign, and the analysis and interpretation of the data (where applicable); to have made substantial contributions to the writing or revision of the manuscript; and to have reviewed the final version of the submitted manuscript and approved it for publication. Authors will be asked to certify that their contribution represents valid work and that neither the manuscript nor one with substantially similar content under their authorship has been published or is being considered for publication elsewhere, except as described in an attachment. If requested, authors shall provide the data on which the manuscript is based for examination by the editors or their assignees

Financial disclosure or conflict of interest

Any affiliation with or involvement in any organisation or entity with a direct financial interest in the subject matter or materials discussed in the manuscript should be disclosed in an attachment. Any financial or material support should be identified in the manuscript.

Copyright transfer

In consideration of the action of the BIMJ in reviewing and editing a submission, the author/s will transfer, assign, or otherwise convey all copyright ownership to the Clinical Research Unit, RIPAS Hospital, Ministry of Health in the event that such work is published by the BIMJ.

Acknowledgements

Only persons who have made substantial contributions but who do not fulfill the authorship criteria should be acknowledged.

Accepted manuscripts

Authors will be informed of acceptances and accepted manuscripts will be sent for copyediting. During copyediting, there may be some changes made to accommodate the style of journal format. Attempts will be made to ensure that the overall meaning of the texts are not altered. Authors will be informed by email of the estimated time of publication. Authors may be requested to provide raw data, especially those presented in graph such as bar charts or figures so that presentations can be constructed following the format and style of the journal. Proofs will be sent to authors to check for any mistakes made during copyediting. Authors are usually given 72 hours to return the proof. No response will be taken as no further corrections required. Corrections and the best described by the second of the best described by the second of the best described by the second of the best described of the second of the best described of the second of the tions should be kept to a minimum. Otherwise, it may cause delay in publication.

Contributors will not be given any offprint of their published articles. Contributors can obtain an electronic reprint from the journal website.

DISCLAIMER

All articles published, including editorials and letters, represent the opinion of the contributors and do not reflect the official view or policy of the Clinical Research Unit, the Ministry of Health or the institutions with which the contributors are affiliated to unless this is clearly stated. The appearance of advertisement does not necessarily constitute endorsement by the Clinical Research Unit or Ministry of Health, Brunei Darussalam. Furthermore, the publisher cannot accept responsibility for the correctness or accuracy of the advertisers' text and/or claim or any opinion expressed.

Aim and Scope of Brunei International Medical Journal

The Brunei International Medical Journal (BIMJ) is a six monthly peer reviewed official publication of the Ministry of Health under the auspices of the Clinical Research Unit, Ministry of Health, Brunei Darussalam.

The BIMJ publishes articles ranging from original research papers, review articles, medical practice papers, special reports, audits, case reports, images of interest, education and technical/innovation papers, editorials, commentaries and letters to the Editor. Topics of interest include all subjects that relate to clinical practice and research in all branches of medicine, basic and clinical including topics related to allied health care fields. The BIMJ welcomes manuscripts from contributors, but usually solicits reviews articles and special reports. Proposals for review papers can be sent to the Managing Editor directly. Please refer to the contact information of the Editorial Office.

Instruction to authors Manuscript submissions

All manuscripts should be sent to the Managing Editor, BIMJ, Ministry of Health, Brunei Darussalam; e-mail: editor-in-chief@bimjonline.com. Subsequent correspondence between the BIMJ and authors will, as far as possible via should be conducted via email quoting the reference number.

Conditions

Submission of an article for consideration for publication implies the transfer of the copyright from the authors to the BIMJ upon acceptance. The final decision of acceptance rests with the Editor-in-Chief. All accepted papers become the permanent property of the BIMJ and may not be published elsewhere without written permission from the BIMJ.

Ethics

Ethical considerations will be taken into account in the assessment of papers that have experimental investigations of human or animal subjects. Authors should state clearly in the Materials and Methods section of the manuscript that institutional review board has approved the project. Those investigators without such review boards should ensure that the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki have been followed.

Manuscript categories Original articles

These include controlled trials, interventional studies, studies of screening and diagnostic tests, outcome studies, cost-effectiveness analyses, and large-scale epidemiological studies. Manuscript should include the following; introduction, materials and methods, results and conclusion. The objective should be stated clearly in the introduction. The text should not exceed 2500 words and references not more than 30.

Review articles

These are, in general, invited papers, but unsolicited reviews, if of good quality, may be considered. Reviews are systematic critical assessments of

literature and data sources pertaining to clinical topics, emphasising factors such as cause, diagnosis, prognosis, therapy, or prevention. Reviews should be made relevant to our local setting and preferably supported by local data. The text should not exceed 3000 words and references not more than 40.

Special Reports

This section usually consist of invited reports that have significant impact on healthcare practice and usually cover disease outbreaks, management guidelines or policy statement paper.

Audits

Audits of relevant topics generally follow the same format as original article and the text should not exceed 1,500 words and references not more than 20

Case reports

Case reports should highlight interesting rare cases or provide good learning points. The text should not exceed 1000 words; the number of tables, figures, or both should not be more than two, and references should not be more than 15.

Education section

This section includes papers (i.e. how to interpret ECG or chest radiography) with particular aim of broadening knowledge or serve as revision materials. Papers will usually be invited but well written paper on relevant topics may be accepted. The text should not exceed 1500 words and should include not more than 15 figures illustration and references should not be more than 15.

Images of interest

These are papers presenting unique clinical encounters that are illustrated by photographs, radiographs, or other figures. Image of interest should include a brief description of the case and discussion with educational aspects. Alternatively, a miniquiz can be presented and answers will be posted in a different section of the publication. A maximum of

PATTERN OF SKIN PRICK TEST RESPONSES TO COMMON ALLERGENS IN PATIENTS WITH ALLERGIC RHINITIS IN BRUNEI DARUSSALAM.

Sara NINAN¹, Sneha E NINAN², Susalnoor SUHAILEE¹, Chee Fui CHONG³

ABSTRACT

Background: Allergic Rhinitis (AR) is a prominent inflammatory disorder of the nasal mucosa caused by exposure to allergens. Skin prick testing (SPT) is a standard method for evaluating the pattern of allergen sensitivity among patients suffering from AR. The objective of this study was to evaluate the sensitivity pattern of common allergens associated with AR in a tertiary care centre in Brunei. Materials and Methods: We prospectively recruited 98 patients with a clinical diagnosis of AR to undergo SPT in an outpatient setting. SPT was performed using 14 common allergens; house dust mites, Blomia tropicalis, German cockroach, feline dander, wheat flour, peanut, banana, egg white, milk, shrimp, chicken, fish mix, Bermuda grass and pollen with two controls (histamine and saline). Result: Mean age of the study group was 33.5±15.5 years and majority were female (73.5%). Nasal obstruction (86%) was the most common symptom reported by patients followed by rhinorrhoea (72%) and nasal itch (72%). Of the 98 patients who underwent SPT, 86.7% had positive SPTs while 13.3% were negative. The most common offending allergens were house dust mite (69.4%), Blomia tropicalis (61.2%) and shrimp (36.5%). Conclusion: The prevalence of AR is increasing in rapidly developing countries such as Brunei Darussalam. From this study, we found that the house dust mite, blomia tropicalis and shrimp were the most common allergens causing AR. Identifying the common allergens is useful in implementing effective preventative, diagnostic and treatment plans in an effort to help decrease the health burden of this disease in the Bruneian population.

Keywords: Allergic rhinitis, skin prick test, food allergens, mites

INTRODUCTION

According to the ARIA (Allergic Rhinitis and its Impact on Asthma) guidelines, Allergic rhinitis (AR) is defined clinically as the presence nasal hypersensitivity symptoms induced by an Ig E-mediated inflammatory response after exposure to an offending allergen. It is char-

Correspondence: Sara Ninan, Department of Otorhinolaryngology, RIPAS Hospital, Bandar Seri Begawan BA1710, Brunei Darussalam.

Tel: +673-2242424 Ext 5314 email: saralanin@gmail.com

acterised by symptoms such as sneezing, nasal congestion, nasal discharge (clear and watery), post nasal drip, cough, irritability and fatigue. It is also often accompanied by itching of the eyes, nose and palate.² It can be described as either intermittent (<4 days/week or <4 weeks) or persistent (>4 days/week or > 4 weeks) and to be either mild with normal sleep and no impairment of daily activities or moderate/severe with abnormal sleep and impairment of daily activities.¹ AR is the most common type of allergic disease

¹Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Raja Isteri Pengiran Anak Saleha Hospital, Bandar Seri Begawan BA1710, Brunei Darussalam.

²School of Medicine and Public Health, The University of Newcastle, Australia.

³Clinical Research Unit, Ministry of Health Bandar Seri Begawan BA1710, Brunei Darussalam.

seen in the primary care settings, which can be seasonal, occupational or perennial and is the sixth leading cause of morbidity in the world.³

In the last two decades the prevalence of AR has increased, especially in the developed western world, with prevalence rate ranging between 10% to 41% in Europe and 11% to 33% in the United States. ² Asia has a relatively high prevalence of AR with reported prevalence rates in school children ranging from 20-50.6% in ASEAN countries and 32% in Japan.^{3,4} Studies have shown the negative impact that AR can have on physical and mental health, its exacerbations on other illnesses such as asthma, rhino-sinusitis, sleep disorders and middle ear disease if not managed properly. Moderate to severe disease has also been shown to decrease performance and productivity in work, school and other social aspects of life, due to the repetitive nose blowing, sleep disturbance and inability to concentrate.^{4,5}

AR is still largely under-diagnosed and under-treated in many countries. 6 The British Society for Allergy and Clinical Immunology (BSACI) guidelines for management of allergic and non-allergic rhinitis recommended the routine use of skin prick test (SPT) in all patients presenting with rhinitis symptoms in order to differentiate AR from non-AR. 7 SPT is sensitive and specific as well as cheap and can be easily performed in an outpatient clinic setting.8 Currently, there are no studies documenting the common allergens causing AR in Brunei Darussalam. Hence the objective of this was to evaluate the pattern of SPT responses to 14 common allergens associated with AR in our local population.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

This prospective cohort study randomly recruited 98 patients with a positive history of

two or more symptoms of AR such as rhinor-rhoea, sneezing, nasal blockage, itchiness of eyes and nose, referred to the Otorhinolaryngology Outpatient clinic at Raja Isteri Pengiran Anak Saleha (RIPAS) hospital. Diagnosis was confirmed and made by an Otorhinolaryngologist. Patients with known atopic dermatitis on their arms and recent exacerbation of asthma and/or acute episode of AR which was not controlled with medications were excluded. This research study was approved by the Medical and Health Research and Ethics Committee of RIPAS Hospital.

A full detailed history and physical examination of the recruited patients were carried out initially in the clinic. Patients were then asked to complete a questionnaire before proceeding with skin prick testing. Written informed consent were obtained prior to SPT.

SPTs and reaction measurements

SPTs were performed using 14 common allergens found in South-east Asian countries (Malaysia, Singapore and Thailand) and two controls were used in the SPT. The common allergens tested were mites (Blomia Tropicalis, Dermatophagoides mix), wheat flour, bermuda grass, pollen IV, peanut, banana, egg white, milk, shrimp, chicken, German cockroach, cat and fish mix. Histamine and saline were used as the positive and negative controls respectively. All 14 allergens were obtained as standardised test kits from ALK-Abello (ALK-Abello Inc, USA).

All SPTs were performed by author (SN) at the Otorhinolaryngology outpatient clinic according to a Standard Operating Procedure based on previous published methods and technique. A multiple skin test applicator with 8 epidermal needles (Multi-Test II, Lincoln Diagnostics, Inc, USA) were coated with test allergens by dipping in 8 shallow wells containing a small aliquot of the standardised test allergens (ALK-Abello, Inc, USA). The coated applicator was then used to introduce

the allergens into the skin on the ventral part of the forearm, which was cleaned with alcohol gel prior to injection. A second multiple skin test applicator was used for the remaining six allergens and both (positive and negative) controls in separate eight shallow wells.

The allergens will interact with the specific IgE bound to mast cells which causes the degranulation and release of inflammatory mediators from mast cells that stimulate nerves, glands and blood vessels to cause a wheal or flare reaction peaking after about 15 minutes, if the patient is allergic to a particular allergen. 10,11,12 The sites were examined after 20 minutes for presence or absence of wheals or flares. The size of a weal at least 3mm and flare of at least 10mm larger than the negative control was taken as positive reactions. After the SPT, the patients were advised to remain in the clinic for 30 minutes, to observe for any immediate adverse reactions such as urticaria or anaphylaxis.

Statistical analysis

All data and measurements were collected and entered into an Microsoft excel file which was later used for analysis at the end of the study. All continuous data were presented as mean ± standard deviation. Nominal data was presented as percentages.

RESULTS

Out of the 98 patients with a diagnosis of AR studied, 72 (73.5%) were females and 26 (26.5%) males. The mean age of the group was 33.5 ± 15.5 years (range 8 to 72 years). Thirty (30.6%) patients had positive family history of atopy. Twenty-four (24.5%) patients have previously been diagnosed with asthma, 34 (34.7%) with eczema and skin rashes. A history of food or drug allergies were present in 43 patients.

Majority of patients complained of

nasal congestion (87.7%) as the most common symptom. This was followed by symptoms of rhinorrhoea (73.5%) and nasal itch (73.5%), sneezing (71.4%), itchy eye (56.1%), itchy throat (48%), post-nasal drip (46.9%), facial pain (42.9%), chronic headache (38%) and chronic cough in 15.3% (Table 1). Positive family history of AR was present in 30 patients. Twenty-four patients have a previous diagnosis of asthma.

Eighty-five (86.7%) patients have positive SPT results (Table 2). The remaining 13 (13.3%) patients have negative SPT results, hence their diagnoses were subsequently amended to non-allergic rhinitis for which the treatment is different. Of the 14 common allergens tested, insect/animal were responsible for causing majority cases of AR with positive reaction to house dust mite allergens seen in 69.4% of patients tested (Table 2). This was followed by blomia tropicalis (61.2%), feline dander (22.4%) and German cockroach (21.2%). Among the food allergens, shrimp was positive in 36.5% of patients, followed by wheat flour (17.6%), chicken (14.1%), fish (12.9%), banana (9.4%), milk (8.2%), egg white (5.9%) and peanuts (4.7%). Aero-allergens such as Bermuda grass and pollen were positive in 9.4% and 5.9% of the patients tested respectively, suggesting that seasonal allergic rhinitis is less common in Brunei Darussalam. Only 17 patients had monoallergen tested positive on SPT and 3 patients tested positive for 11 or more allergens on SPT.

DISCUSSION

There has been an increase in the prevalence of atopy and allergic diseases such as AR around the world, especially in the both developed and rapidly developing countries such as Brunei.²⁻⁴ Numerous studies have shown links between industrialisation, environmental changes and pollution as possible causes.⁹⁻¹⁰ This is a significant problem as the disease

Table 1: Patients' demographics and prevalence of symptoms of allergic rhinitis.

		Mean (SD)	Number of patients (%)
Age (years)		33.5 (15.5)	
Gender	Male		26 (26.5)
	Female		72 (73.5)
Positive family history			30 (30.6)
Asthma			24 (24.5)
Eczema/skin rashes			34 (34.7)
History of sinus disease			31 (31.6)
Food allergy			32 (32.7)
Drug allergy			11 (11.2)
Symptoms	Nasal congestions		86 (87.7)
	Rhinorrhea		72 (73.5)
	Nasal Itch		72 (73.5)
	Sneezing		70 (71.4)
	Itchy eyes		55 (56.1)
	Itchy throat		47 (48)
	Post-Nasal drip		46 (46.9)
	Facial Pain		42 (42.9)
	Chronic Headache		38 (38.8)
	Chronic cough		15 (15.3)

Table 2: SPT results and allergens reactivity frequency.

_		
		Number of patients (%)
SPT RESULTS (N=98)	Positive	85 (86.7)
	Negative	13 (13.3)
COMMON ALLERGENS TESTE	D IN POSITIVE SPT (N=78)	
Animal/Insect Allergens	House dust mite	59 (69.4)
	Blomia tropicalis	52 (61.2)
	Feline dander	19 (22.4)
	German cockroach	18 (21.2)
Food Allergens	Shrimp	31 (36.5)
	Wheat flour	15 (17.6)
	Chicken	12 (14.1)
	Fish	11 (12.9)
	Banana	8 (9.4)
	Milk	7 (8.2)
	Egg	5 (5.9)
	Peanuts	4 (4.7)
Aeroallergens	Bermuda grass	8 (9.4)
	Pollen	5 (5.9)
Monoallergens		17 (20.0)
2 to 6 allergens		65 (76.5)
≥11 allergens		3 (3.5)

burden of AR is high, both in terms of the negative impact on a person's quality of life as well as significant total economic burden related to the disease. The total economic burden of AR from all causes in the United States has been estimated to be around \$24.8 billion annually. With the rapid development of Brunei and combined with worldwide environmental changes, the incidence of AR is expected to rise, hence an effective management strategy is needed in order to decrease the disease as well as economic burden. 3,4

This study is the first to evaluate the prevalence of SPT positive AR as well as identifying the common symptoms and allergens associated with it in patients suspected of AR in Brunei. Nasal congestions represent the most common symptom experienced by 87.7% of patients in this study, followed by rhinorrhoea (73.5%), nasal itch (73.5%) and sneezing (71.4%). These and other symptoms such as itchy throat, postnasal drip, facial pain, chronic headaches and cough can cause significant negative impact on patients' quality of life in terms of loss of sleep, poor concentration, leading to reduce productivity, efficiency and physical nasal trauma from repetitive blowing and wiping of nose with tissues. These in turn cause stress as well as anxiety in the patient's professional and social life. The loss of productivity and efficiency contributes negatively to economic growth from days loss from work.

Diagnosis of AR is dependent on a combination of a positive clinical history and examination, a full blood count and nasal cytology showing eosinophilia and supported by a positive SPT to common allergens to which the patients are exposed to. Among patients presenting with clinical history, symptoms and signs suggestive of AR, SPT is only positive in 86.7% of our study population. Hence, based on clinical history and examination alone, 13.3% of patients were wrongly diag-

nosed as AR. These 13 patients showed a negative SPT to all 14 common allergens found in our region and their preliminary diagnosis were later amended to non-AR. It is important to distinguish between AR from non-AR as they have overlapping spectrum of symptoms but different treatment strategies.^{2,13} SPT is an in-vivo test and determines the IgE antibodies present in the patient's skin to specific allergens. SPT is fast, cheap and a reliable method with low risk for anaphylaxis. A more expensive alternative invitro test is the radio-allergosorbant test (RAST), which quantifies the amount of allergen specific IgE antibodies in the patients' blood. Thus implementing SPT as a routine test for clinically suspected cases of AR can help to reduce cost of treating AR in appropriately since treatment for non-AR is different.

According to our study, indoor or animal and insect allergens accounts for majority of the cases of perennial AR in Brunei. Common allergens such as house dust mite and blomia tropicalis which are present throughout the year, were positive in 69.4% and 61.2% of SPTs tested in our patients respectively. These results were similar to those found in other ASEAN countries such as Singapore and Malaysia where house dust mite and Blomia tropicalis accounts for over 70-80% of AR.⁶ Feline dander allergens were only positive in 22.4% of SPTs which is lower than expected for a country where cats are popularly kept as house pets.

As expected, food allergens are generally not a major cause of AR in adults and like other studies, food allergens SPT were positive in less than 15% of the patients tested except for shrimp which showed the highest positive reaction at 39.7%. ^{14,15} Seasonal allergens such as Bermuda grass and pollen caused allergic rhinitis in 8% and 3% of studied subjects respectively, suggesting that seasonal allergic rhinitis is less common in Brunei Darussalam.

Gendeh *et al.* reported that majority of patients diagnosed with AR were allergic to more than one allergen, which was also consistent with our study findings. ¹⁶ Only 17 out of 98 patients were sensitive to monoallergen. This can help explain why patients still experienced symptoms despite avoidance of the offending allergen and why testing multiple allergens via SPT are generally recommended.

Bronchial asthma can also co-exist with AR and in our patients, asthma was previously diagnosed in 24.5% of cases. Gendeh *et al.* reported higher percentage of co-existing bronchial asthma, which was present in 40-50% of patients with AR.¹⁵ Nasal symptoms were reported in 75% of patients with bronchial asthma, which may mask the presence of AR.¹ With such intermixed and crossover of symptoms, accurate diagnosis and treatment of AR is important. Thus, it is advisable for patients to be referred to ORL outpatient clinics for ENT consultation and SPTs.

The primary treatment for any allergic disease (including AR) is prevention by avoidance and so identifying the offending allergens is important. This study has identified the three most common allergens are the house dust mite, blomia tropicalis and shrimp. Patients and their families with a known history of allergy or atopy should be educated and warned to avoid these allergens as a primary preventive measure. To reduce exposure to house dust mites, patients and their families should be taught measures such as providing adequate ventilation in their house and workplace to decrease humidity to less than 50, regularly wash bedding at 60° C, encase pillow, mattresses and quilts in allergen impermeable covers and vacuum cleaner with HEPA filter. They should also be informed to dispose of feather bedding , remove carpets and curtains, pets and stuffed toys from bedroom. Avoidance of blomia tropicalis by storing food such as

grains and lentiles in closed containers.

Secondary management with pharmacotherapy is aimed for symptoms control and the type of drugs used is dependent on the predominant symptoms. The most commonly reported symptoms in this study are nasal congestions, rhinorrhoea, nasal itch and sneezing which are best treated with antihistamine (orally or intranasal) or intranasal corticosteroids.⁷ Tertiary management with immunotherapy is generally recommended for those with monoallergen AR, which should be our future goal.⁷

Limitation

This study was conducted at the ORL outpatient clinic at RIPAS Hospital which is a tertiary referral centre for the whole country and may has inherently introduced referral bias. Thus, the results may not be applicable outside of hospital settings. There are more than 200 allergens available in the market for testing. It is not possible to use all these for routine testing. We have elected to use the most common 14 allergens found in our region. Hence patients allergic to other allergens not included in this study will not provide a positive SPT and this is one of the limitations of this study.

CONCLUSION

Our study represents the first study to evaluate the pattern of SPT of 14 common allergens in patients diagnosed clinically with AR in Brunei. Our data showed that household animal/insect allergens such as the house dust mite, blomia tropicalis and food allergens such as shrimp are the 3 commonest allergens with positive SPTs ranging from 30-80%. Our results can be used to guide treatment of patients with AR, in particular with education strategy advising patients to avoid these allergens through regular washing of household beddings and avoiding frequent consumption of shrimps.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank our staff nurses Mariani Marani and Tai Mei Lian for their assistance in conducting the SPT and gathering of data for analysis. Conflict of interest: None

Financial declaration: None

REFERENCES

- Brożek J, Bousquet J, Baena-Cagnani C, Bonini S et al. Allergic Rhinitis and its Impact on Asthma (ARIA) guidelines: 2010 Revision. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2010;126:466-476. [Accessed on 2018, March 18]. Available from: https://www.siaip.it/upload/ARIA2010revision.pdf
- Wise SK, Lin SY, Toskala E, Orlandi RR, Akdis CA, Alt JA et al. International Consensus Statement on Allergy and Rhinology: Allergic Rhinitis. Int Forum Allergy & Rhinol. 2018;8(2):108-352. [Accessed on 2018, March 18]. Available on: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf/10.1002/ alr.22073
- 3: Pawankar R, Bunnag C, Khaltaev N, Bousquet J.
 Allergic Rhinitis and Its Impact on Asthma in Asia
 Pacific and the ARIA Update 2008. WAO Journal.
 2012:S212-S217. [Accessed on 2018, March 18].
 Available from: https://waojournal.biomedcentral.com/
 articles/10.1186/1939-4551-5-S3-S212
- 4: Wong G, Leung T, Ko F. Changing Prevalence of Allergic Diseases in the Asia-Pacific Region. Allergy Asthma Immunol Res. 2013;5(5): 251-257. [Accessed on 2018, March 18]. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/ PMC3756171/
- 5: Meltzer E. Allergic Rhinitis: The Impact of Discordant Perspectives of Patient and Physician on Treatment Decisions. Clinical Therapeutics. 2007;29(7):1428-1440.
- 6: Asha'ari Z, Yusof S, Ismail R, Che Hussin C. Clinical Features of Allergic Rhinitis and Skin Prick Test Analysis Based on the ARIA Classification: A Preliminary Study in Malaysia. Ann Acad Med Singapore. 2010;39:619-24. [Accessed on 2018, April 2]. Available from: http://www.annals.edu.sg/pdf/39VolNo8Aug2010/V39N8p619.pdf
- 7: Scadding GK, Durham SR, MIrakian R, Jones NS, Leech SC, Farooque S, Ryan D, Walker SM, Clark

- AT, Dixon TA, Jolles SRA, Siddique N, Cullinan P, Howarth PH and Nasser SM. BSACI guidelines for the management of allergic and non-allertic rhinitis. Clinical and Experimental Allergy. 2008;38:19 -42. Doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2222.2007.02888.x. [Accessed on 2018, March 18]. Available on http://rcot.org/datafile/file/doctor/d42f0eb2f77b6c17555f2278306ee611.pdf
- 8: Coetzee O, Green RJ, Masekela R. A guide to performing skin-prick testing in practice: tips and tricks of the trade. A Afr Fam Pract. 2013;55 (5):415-419.
- 9: Barnes CS, Alexis NE, Bernstein JA, Cohn JR, Demain JG, Horner E, Levetin E, Nel A, Phipatanakul W. Climate change and our environment: The effect on respiratory and allergic disease. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2013;1 (2):137-141.
- Zhang QL, Qiu ZM, Chung KF, Huang SK. Link between environmental air pollution and allergic asthma: East meets West. J Thorac Dis. 2015;7 (1):14-22.
- 11: Meltzer EO, Bukstein DA. The economic impact of allergic rhinitis and current guidelines for treatment. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2011;106 (Suppl 2):S12-S16.
- 12: Mudarri DH. Valuing the economic costs of allergic rhinitis, acute bronchitis and asthma from exposure to indoor dampness and mold in the US. J Environ Public Health. 2016;2016:2386596. Doi: 10.1155/2016/2386596. [Accessed on 2018, March 18]. Available on https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4903120/
- 13: Lim MY, Leong JL. Allergic rhinitis: evidence based practice. Singapore Med J. 2010;5 (7);542-550.
- 14: Chogtu B, Magaji N, Magazine R, Acharya PT. Pattern of allergen sensitivity among patients with bronchial asthma and/or allergic rhinosinusitis in a tertiary care ventre of Southern India. J Clin Diag Research. 2017;11(8):OC01-OC04.
- 15: Pang KA, Pang KP, Pang EB, Tan YN, Chan YH, Siow JK. Food allergy and allergic rhinitis in 435 asian patients – a descriptive review. Med J Malaysia. 2017;72(4):215-220.
- 16: Gendeh BS, Mujahid SH, Murad S, Rizal M. Atopic sensitization of children with rhinitis in Malaysia. Med J Malaysia. 2004;59(4): 522-529. [Accessed on 2018, March 18]. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15779586